## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

ORDFR (	OF DETENTION	I PFNDING	DISPOSITION
UNDEN '	OI DEIENIION		

٧.

		Thomas (	<u> Clark</u>	_ Case N	Number: _	CR-08-50066	-001-PHX-GMS
	cordance stablishe		2.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 ck one or both, as applicable.)		earing has b	peen held. I conclu	ude that the following facts
$\boxtimes$	the de	efendant is a da	anger to the community a	and requires the dete	ention of the	defendant pending	g disposition in this case.
	the de	e defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.					
			PAI	RT I FINDINGS OF	F FACT		
	(1)	<del>-</del>	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
		a cr	ime of violence as define	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 315	56(a)(4).		
		an c	offense for which the max	kimum sentence is lit	fe imprisonn	nent or death.	
		an c	offense for which a maxir	num term of imprisor	nment of ter	years or more is p	prescribed in
		a fe	lony that was committed cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 314	after the defendant 42(f)(1)(A)-(C), or co	had been co mparable st	onvicted of two or r ate or local offense	more prior federal offenses es.
		devi		lefined in section 92°			f a firearm or destructive apon, or involves a failure
	(2)		3142(e)(2)(B): The offen		ing 1 was co	mmitted while the	defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant ha not rebutted this presumption.					
				Alternative Findin	gs		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3 <sup>4</sup>	142(e)(3): There is proba	able cause to believe	e that the de	fendant has comm	nitted an offense
		for v	vhich a maximum term o	f imprisonment of te	n years or m	nore is prescribed i	in <sup>1</sup>
		und	er 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 95	56(a), or 2332b.			
			er 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, scribed.	for which a maximu	m term of in	nprisonment of 20	years or more is
		□ an o	offense involving a minor	victim under section	1		²
	(2)	The defendations w	ant has not rebutted the prill reasonably assure the	presumption establise appearance of the	hed by findi defendant a	ng 1 that no condit s required and the	ion or combination of safety of the community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S 1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

	Alternative Findings
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or
	danger to the community.
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

## **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012.

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge